IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE NASHVILLE DIVISION

COLLEEN MARSHA MOORE,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	No. 3:21-cv-00035
v.)	JUDGE RICHARDSON
)	
COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL)	
SECURITY ADMINISTRATION,)	
)	
Defendant.	•	

ORDER

Before the Court is a Report and Recommendation from the Magistrate Judge (Doc. No. 26), recommending the Court grant Defendant's Motion to Remand. (Doc. No. 23, "Motion"). No Objections to the Report and Recommendation have been filed.

The failure to object to a report and recommendation releases the Court from its duty to independently review the matter. *Frias v. Frias*, No. 2:18-cv-00076, 2019 WL 549506, at *2 (M.D. Tenn. Feb. 12, 2019); *Hart v. Bee Property Mgmt.*, No. 18-cv-11851, 2019 WL 1242372, at * 1 (E.D. Mich. March 18, 2019) (citing *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985)). The district court is not required to review, under a *de novo* or any other standard, those aspects of the report and recommendation to which no objection is made. *Ashraf v. Adventist Health System/Sunbelt, Inc.*, 322 F. Supp. 3d 879, 881 (W.D. Tenn. 2018); *Benson v. Walden Security*, No. 3:18-cv-0010, 2018 WL 6322332, at *3 (M.D. Tenn. Dec. 4, 2018). The district court should adopt the magistrate judge's findings and rulings to which no specific objection is filed. *Id*.

Nonetheless, the Court has reviewed the Report and Recommendation and the file. The Report and Recommendation is adopted and approved. Accordingly, Defendant's Motion (Doc.

No. 23) is **GRANTED**, the decision of the Commissioner is **REVERSED**, and this matter is **REMANDED** to the Social Security Administration for further proceedings.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

ELI RICHARDSON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE